

Policy Title	Grants, Scholarships and Student Financial Support Policy
Version:	№ 1
Effective Date:	September 9, 2026
Approved by:	Academic Council
Scope:	University wide
Purpose:	To establish a single framework governing the award, payment, monitoring, continuation and withdrawal of academic grants, merit-based scholarships and financial support for international mobility and overseas study at British Management University (BMU).

1. Purpose

- 1.1 This policy establishes a single, University-wide framework for the award, administration, monitoring and withdrawal of merit-based financial support offered by British Management University (BMU).
- 1.2 It brings together three distinct instruments of support:
 - academic grants, awarded at the point of admission as a reduction in tuition fees;
 - merit-based scholarships, awarded annually in recognition of outstanding academic performance;
 - financial support for international mobility and overseas study.
- 1.3 The policy is intended to ensure transparency and consistency in decision-making, equitable treatment of applicants and students, clear allocation of institutional responsibility, and alignment between financial support, academic standards and the University's recruitment strategy.
- 1.4 The policy supersedes the 50% Academic Grants Policy and the Merit-based Scholarship Policy, both of which are withdrawn with effect from the date on which this policy takes effect.

2. Scope

- 2.1 This policy applies University-wide: to all applicants for admission, to all registered students on all programmes, and to all staff and committees involved in awarding, administering or reviewing financial support.
- 2.2 It applies to all forms of merit-based financial support funded from the University's own resources.
- 2.3 It does not apply to externally funded awards made by donors, sponsors or government bodies, except where the University has agreed to administer such awards, in which case the principles of this policy apply so far as the terms of the award permit.
- 2.4 It does not apply to hardship or needs-based assistance, which is governed by separate arrangements.

3. Definitions

- 3.1 In this policy, the following terms have the meanings set out below.

Academic Grant	A reduction in tuition fees awarded to an applicant at the point of admission in recognition of demonstrated academic ability, and held for the normal duration of the programme subject to the continuation requirements of this policy.
-----------------------	---

Merit-based Scholarship	A financial award paid to a registered student in recognition of outstanding academic performance across a completed academic year.
Standard Tuition Discount	The University's standard 20% reduction in tuition fees, applied to students meet the requirements, but who do not hold, or who cease to hold, an academic grant.
Annual GPA	The grade point average achieved by a student across a full academic year, as confirmed by the relevant Reassessment Board.
Continuation Threshold	The minimum annual GPA required for a student to retain a grant or a scholarship, as set out in Sections 5 and 6.
Probation	A period of one academic year during which an award is retained despite performance below the continuation threshold, subject to the conditions in Section 5.
Admission Assessment	The mathematics or quantitative reasoning examination undertaken by applicants considered for an academic grant.
Grant Quota	The number of academic grants at each level approved for a programme in a given admissions cycle.
Reassessment Board	The board that confirms students' final annual results and progression decisions following reassessment.
Admissions Committee	The committee responsible for admission decisions and for the award of academic grants at the point of entry.
Committee	The Grants and Scholarships Committee constituted under Section 8.
International Mobility Activity	Any exchange programme, period of study abroad, international competition, academic visit or international project falling within Section 7.
SAS Department	The Student Academic Support Department.

4. General principles

- 4.1 All financial support governed by this policy is awarded on merit, against criteria that are published in advance and applied consistently.
- 4.2 The instruments of support serve different purposes. Academic grants are recruitment instruments: they recognise exceptional applicants before enrolment. Merit-based scholarships are recognition instruments: they reward demonstrated excellence after enrolment.
- 4.3 No award may be made retrospectively. Academic performance during study determines only whether an award is retained — not whether it may first be granted.
- 4.4 Meeting the published criteria creates no automatic entitlement. All awards are limited by approved quotas, available funds and institutional priorities.
- 4.5 Every award is conditional upon continued registration, good academic standing and compliance with the University's regulations, policies and the student contract.
- 4.6 Every award is reviewed annually, as set out in Sections 5 and 6.
- 4.7 Decisions are communicated only through official University channels, in writing.



4.8 Personal data processed under this policy is treated as confidential and handled in accordance with the University's data protection obligations.

5. Section I – Academic Grants

5.1 Purpose and philosophy

- 5.1.1 Academic grants are recruitment instruments. They enable the University to attract applicants of exceptional academic ability and to reward that ability from the point of entry.
- 5.1.2 Grants recognise exceptional applicants before enrolment, not afterwards. Academic performance during study therefore determines only whether a grant is retained; it cannot create eligibility for a grant.
- 5.1.3 A grant, once awarded, is held for the normal duration of the student's programme, subject to the continuation requirements set out in clauses 5.12 to 5.18.

5.2 Forms of academic grant

- 5.2.1 The University offers academic grants at two levels: a 100% grant, remitting the full tuition fee, and a 50% grant, remitting one half of the tuition fee.
- 5.2.2 Grants apply to tuition fees only and confer no entitlement to any other payment or benefit.

5.3 Eligibility at admission

- 5.3.1 Academic grants are awarded on the basis of two elements, both assessed before enrolment:
 - English language proficiency, evidenced by an IELTS result or an equivalent qualification accepted by BMU;
 - performance in the University's mathematics or quantitative reasoning admission assessment.
- 5.3.2 Applicants must in addition satisfy the general admission requirements of the programme to which they have applied, if relevant.
- 5.3.3 There is no single fixed pass mark. Because examinations differ in design, level and benchmarking, the qualifying level for each grant level is determined by those responsible for designing the examination, confirmed by the Admissions Committee, and may vary between examinations, programmes and admissions cycles.
- 5.3.4 Qualifying levels are confirmed before the relevant examination is administered and are applied consistently to all applicants within the same cycle.

5.4 Grant quotas

- 5.4.1 Each year, before student recruitment begins, Rector determines the number of 100% grants and 50% grants to be made available for each programme.
- 5.4.2 Allocation takes into account:
 - the University's recruitment strategy;
 - the development needs of the programme;
 - market competitiveness;
 - institutional priorities.
- 5.4.3 Quotas may differ between programmes and from year to year. No programme holds a standing entitlement to a fixed number of grants.
- 5.4.4 An approved quota represents a maximum rather than a target. The University is not obliged to award the full quota where the standard of the applicant field does not justify it.

5.5 Admission assessment

- 5.5.1 Admission criteria may vary by programme. However, all applicants considered for an academic grant must undertake a mathematics or quantitative reasoning assessment.
- 5.5.2 The assessment must in all cases:
 - be aligned with an approved internal benchmark and, where appropriate, with a recognised international benchmarking examination at the appropriate level;



- assess analytical and quantitative ability;
- be appropriate to the programme for which the applicant is being considered.

5.5.3 Where a programme is not quantitative in character, the assessment takes the form of quantitative reasoning rather than subject mathematics.

5.6 Assessment design and moderation

5.6.1 Examination papers and the accompanying marking schemes are prepared by the relevant academic department or by designated specialists to be appointed by the Rector.

5.6.2 An approved examination specification is required for every paper before it is set. The specification states:

- the duration of the examination and the total marks available;
- the structure of the paper, including its sections and the weighting of each;
- the topics and skills to be assessed;
- the types of question to be used;
- the calculators, materials and equipment permitted and prohibited;
- the marking scheme, including the allocation of marks.

5.6.3 Examination specifications are approved by the Admissions Committee before the paper is set. Every paper and marking scheme is prepared, moderated and marked against the approved specification, and the permitted and prohibited materials are notified to applicants in advance.

5.6.4 Before use, every paper and its marking scheme must be internally moderated by at least one academic reviewer who was not involved in setting the paper.

5.6.5 Moderation confirms that the paper is accurate, pitched at the appropriate level, aligned with the approved examination specification and with the approved benchmark, clearly expressed, and supported by a marking scheme that permits consistent marking. The outcome of moderation is recorded.

5.6.6 Examination papers and marking schemes are confidential. Those who design the examination and the Admissions Department are jointly responsible for holding them securely until they are released for use.

5.7 Administration of examinations

5.7.1 The Admissions Department is responsible for the administration of the assessment, including:

- registration of applicants;
- scheduling of examinations;
- communication with applicants;
- examination logistics and invigilation arrangements.

5.7.2 Marking is undertaken only by authorised academic staff.

5.7.3 Final examination results are approved by the Admissions Committee before release.

5.7.4 Examination records, scripts, results and incident reports are retained by the Admissions Department in accordance with the University's records retention arrangements, and in any event for not less than one full admissions cycle following the end of the academic year to which they relate, or for longer where required for an appeal, an investigation, or another legal or regulatory purpose.

5.7.5 Applicants must comply with the examination rules published by the Admissions Department and with the instructions of invigilators. The following constitute misconduct in the admission assessment:

- copying from another applicant, or assisting another applicant;
- impersonation, or arranging for another person to sit the examination;
- possession or use of a mobile telephone, smart device or other unauthorised electronic device, including any artificial intelligence tool;



- use of a calculator, material or item of equipment not permitted by the approved examination specification;
 - communication with any other person during the examination, other than an invigilator;
 - removing, copying, photographing, reproducing or sharing examination content, whether before, during or after the examination.
- 5.7.6 Suspected misconduct is recorded by the invigilator, together with any evidence, and reported to the Admissions Committee. Where misconduct is established, the applicant is normally disqualified from consideration for an academic grant in that admissions cycle and the result of the examination concerned is void. The Committee may also refer the matter for consideration under the University's admissions procedures.
- 5.7.7 Invigilators record every incident affecting the conduct of an examination or an applicant's performance in it, including:
- late arrival at, or absence from, the examination;
 - failure to produce acceptable identification, or any other question as to an applicant's identity;
 - illness or indisposition arising during the examination;
 - disruption to the examination room or venue;
 - technical failure affecting the delivery, timing, recording or submission of the examination.
- 5.7.8 Incident reports are submitted to the Admissions Department and referred to the Admissions Committee wherever a decision is required, including any decision on the admission of a late applicant, the allowance of additional time, the validity of a result, or a resit under clause 5.11.
- 5.8 Examinations and examination sessions**
- 5.8.1 The University maintains more than one admission examination. Examinations differ according to the programme applied for and each is designed and moderated in accordance with clause 5.6.
- 5.8.2 Examination sessions are scheduled by the Admissions Department in accordance with the admissions calendar, and as many sessions are held in each cycle as are required to serve the admission rounds in operation.
- 5.8.3 Where the same examination is sat at more than one session within an admissions cycle, a separate moderated paper of equivalent standard must be used at each session.
- 5.8.4 Although examinations differ in design and difficulty, all must satisfy the requirements of clause 5.5.2 and be benchmarked so that outcomes across programmes and sessions are broadly comparable. The qualifying level applicable to each examination is set in accordance with clause 5.3.3.
- 5.8.5 An applicant normally sits the applicable examination once in an admissions cycle. A single assessment result may be used to rank an applicant for both the 100% and the 50% grant, unless a separate process for a particular grant level is approved by the Admissions Committee and announced before the examination is administered. Clause 5.11 governs the circumstances in which a resit may be permitted.
- 5.9 Award decisions**
- 5.9.1 In deciding whether to award an academic grant, and at what level, the following are taken into account:
- performance in the admission assessment;
 - admissions eligibility, including English language proficiency;
 - demonstrated tutoring or leadership potential, where relevant to the award and assessed in accordance with clause 5.9.2;
 - the quotas available for the programme;
- 5.9.2 Where tutoring or leadership potential is taken into account, it is assessed by one or more of the following approved methods:



- a structured interview conducted by at least two members of staff against published criteria;
- documented prior tutoring, mentoring or teaching experience;
- assessment of communication skills, including proficiency in English;
- a written recommendation from the relevant academic department;
- any other method approved by the Admissions Committee.

5.9.3 The methods to be used, and the weight attached to this criterion, are determined and published before the assessment is undertaken. The basis of each judgement is recorded and reported to the Admissions Committee, and the criterion is applied consistently to all applicants within the same admissions cycle.

5.9.4 Examination scores inform decisions but do not automatically guarantee an award. Grants are awarded competitively within the approved quotas.

5.9.5 Final approval of every academic grant rests with the Admissions Committee and the Rector.

5.9.6 Each award is confirmed to the applicant in writing, stating the level of the grant, its duration and the conditions on which it is retained.

5.10 Communication of decisions

5.10.1 Decisions on academic grants are communicated to applicants only through official Admissions channels.

5.10.2 Individual examiners, markers and invigilators must never confirm, indicate or discuss results or awards with applicants, their families or third parties.

5.10.3 Any informal indication of an outcome carries no authority and does not bind the University.

5.11 Resits and appeals

5.11.1 Applicants do not normally have a right to resit the admission assessment. No resit is permitted where the applicant has been disqualified for misconduct under clause 5.7.

5.11.2 A resit may be approved only where:

- illness or indisposition, whether arising before or during the examination, prevented the applicant from sitting it or demonstrably affected performance;
- an administrative error occurred in the conduct of the examination;
- the applicant was absent from, or arrived too late to be admitted to, the examination for a reason accepted by the Admissions Committee as valid;
- the applicant could not be identified at the examination and identity has since been satisfactorily established;
- the examination was affected by disruption, or by technical failure, of a kind recorded under clause 5.7;
- a further examination session is held under clause 5.8 and the Admissions Committee approves the applicant's participation in it.

5.11.3 Applicants must notify BMU Admissions Department promptly, normally within five working days of the examination, and provide supporting evidence where required. Any incident report recorded by an invigilator under clause 5.7 is considered together with the request.

5.11.4 Requests are determined by the Admissions Committee, whose decision is final.

5.11.5 Appeals may be made on procedural grounds only. Academic judgement exercised in marking is not subject to appeal.

5.12 Continuation of academic grants

5.12.1 To retain an academic grant in each subsequent year of study, a student must:

- achieve a minimum annual GPA of 3.0;
- have no repeated instances of academic misconduct or disciplinary offence;
- comply fully with the University's policies, procedures and the terms of the student contract.

5.12.2 The continuation threshold for academic grants is therefore an annual GPA of 3.0. Grant holders are reviewed in accordance with clauses 5.13 to 5.17.



5.12.3 Review is based on the confirmed annual GPA together with the student's academic and disciplinary record.

5.13 First review

5.13.1 The first academic review takes place at the end of Semester 1.

5.13.2 Its purpose is early intervention rather than sanction.

5.13.3 Students whose performance places the continuation of their grant at risk receive formal notification from the Student Academic Support Department, setting out the nature of the risk, the standard required for continuation and the academic support available to them.

5.13.4 No financial support is normally withdrawn following the first review.

5.14 Annual review

5.14.1 Following the Reassessment Boards in each academic year, the Grants and Scholarships Committee reviews every grant holder.

5.14.2 The possible outcomes of the annual review are:

- continuation of the award;
- continuation of the award subject to probation;
- withdrawal of the award;

5.14.3 Outcomes are confirmed in writing and take effect from the beginning of the following academic year, unless the Committee determines otherwise.

5.15 Probation

5.15.1 Where a student's annual GPA falls below the continuation threshold but remains at or above 2.5, the student is placed on probation for one academic year.

5.15.2 During the probation year the grant remains in place.

5.15.3 The student is notified in writing of the probation, of the standard required to restore the award to good standing, and of the consequences of failing to do so.

5.15.4 If, at the end of the probation year, the annual GPA has recovered above the continuation threshold of GPA more than or equal to 3.0, support continues on the normal terms.

5.15.5 If, at the end of the probation year, the annual GPA remains below the continuation threshold, an academic grant is withdrawn and the student moves automatically to the University's standard 20% tuition discount.

5.15.6 A student is not normally placed on probation more than once in respect of the same award.

5.16 Immediate withdrawal

5.16.1 Where a student's annual GPA falls below 2.5 in any review year, there is no probation.

5.16.2 The grant is withdrawn immediately, without an intervening probation year, and the student moves directly to the standard 20% tuition discount.

5.16.3 This provision applies irrespective of the student's performance in previous years.

5.17 Withdrawal in other circumstances

5.17.1 The Committee may withdraw a grant, with the result that the student becomes liable for the full cost of study at the University, in the following cases:

- at the student's own request, including in connection with a transfer to another university, for health reasons supported by a medical certificate from the relevant authority, or for family reasons;
- systematic or gross violation of academic discipline under the Academic Misconduct Policy;
- violation of the terms of the contract concluded with the University;
- systematic or gross violation of the Student Code of Conduct;
- failure to attend classes without valid reason for a month or more;
- academic failure resulting in the student's expulsion from the University under the Assessment Regulations.

5.18 Reinstatement

5.18.1 An academic grant that has been withdrawn cannot be reinstated, save as provided in clause 5.18.2.

5.18.2 Where a student has voluntarily withdrawn from a programme for non-academic reasons, including health, family or personal circumstances, and is subsequently readmitted to the University, the Grants and Scholarships Committee may reinstate the academic grant previously held, at the same level and on the conditions in force at the date of readmission. Reinstatement is not automatic and requires a decision of the Committee, which takes into account:

- the reasons for the original withdrawal and any supporting evidence;
- the student's academic standing at the point of withdrawal, which must have satisfied the continuation requirements of this policy;
- the student's disciplinary record;
- the period of absence and the currency of the programme of study.

Reinstatement restores an award previously made at admission and is therefore made outside the grant quotas approved under clause 5.4. The Committee's decision is reported to the Rector.

5.18.3 A grant withdrawn for academic or disciplinary reasons may not be reinstated. A student readmitted in such circumstances is admitted under the standard tuition discount.

6. Section II – Merit-based Scholarships

6.1 Purpose

6.1.1 The University is committed to encouraging all students to perform well in their studies, and to recognising and supporting those who demonstrate academic excellence and good academic standing.

6.1.2 For this purpose the University maintains a system of merit-based scholarships, which commend students of outstanding academic performance and encourage others to follow their example.

6.1.3 Unlike academic grants, scholarships are awarded after enrolment, on the basis of performance across a completed academic year, and are held for one academic year at a time.

6.2 Types of scholarship and criteria

6.2.1 Two classes of merit-based scholarship are available:

- the Gold Scholarship: awarded to students achieving a GPA of 4.0 across the full academic year;
- the Silver Scholarship: awarded to students achieving a GPA of 3.75 or above.

6.2.2 Performance is assessed across the entire academic year, as confirmed by the Reassessment Board. Results from a single semester are not sufficient.

6.2.3 Scholarship awards are contingent upon the student's continued enrolment at BMU and the absence of major academic misconduct or disciplinary offence, that is, upon good academic standing.

6.2.4 The monetary value of each class of scholarship is determined annually by the Rector and published before the start of the academic year to which it relates.

6.3 Award procedure

6.3.1 The Grants and Scholarships Committee is responsible for the allocation of merit-based scholarships, on the basis of students' performance over the entire academic year.

6.3.2 The Committee convenes once per year, following the Reassessment Boards, ensuring a holistic evaluation of students' academic achievement.

6.3.3 Eligible students are identified by the Academic Registrar from confirmed annual results and presented to the Committee for confirmation. No application by the student is required.



6.3.4 Awards are confirmed by the Committee and communicated to students in writing by the Student Academic Support Department, stating the class of scholarship, the payment arrangements and the conditions on which it is held.

6.4 Payment

6.4.1 Merit-based scholarships are paid monthly.

6.4.2 Payments are made for ten months of the academic year, from September to June inclusive. No payments are made in July or August.

6.4.3 Payment is conditional upon continued registration and good academic standing. Where a student withdraws, suspends studies or is expelled, payment ceases with effect from the month following that event.

6.4.4 Where a scholarship ceases under clause 6.5, payment ceases with effect from the date determined by the Committee.

6.5 Duration, renewal and continuation

6.5.1 A merit-based scholarship is held for one academic year and does not carry forward automatically.

6.5.2 Entitlement is reconsidered each year on the basis of the most recent confirmed annual GPA. A student may hold a scholarship in consecutive years where the criteria continue to be met, and may be awarded the class of scholarship corresponding to the GPA achieved.

6.5.3 A scholarship is not renewed for a further year where the student's annual GPA falls below 3.75, being the minimum required for any class of scholarship. The probation arrangements applying to academic grants do not apply to merit-based scholarships.

6.5.4 A scholarship ceases with immediate effect where the student ceases to be registered, is expelled, or is found to have committed a major academic misconduct or disciplinary offence. The Committee determines the date from which payment ceases.

7. Section III – Financial Support for International Mobility and Overseas Study

7.1 Purpose and scope

7.1.1 The University supports student participation in international activity that advances academic development, employability and the University's international standing.

7.1.2 This section applies to financial support for:

- exchange programmes;
- periods of study abroad;
- international competitions;
- academic visits;
- international projects.

7.1.3 Support may be full or partial and may contribute towards tuition, travel, accommodation, registration fees or other costs directly related to the approved activity.

7.1.4 Support under this section is discretionary and limited by available funds. Selection for an international activity does not of itself create an entitlement to financial support.

7.2 Proposals

7.2.1 Each proposal originates from the initiating department — for example the Dean, the International Partnerships Office, or the relevant academic department.

7.2.2 Every proposal must specify:

- the objectives of the activity and the expected academic benefit;
- the number of students to participate;
- the selection criteria to be applied;
- the financial support requested, with indicative costs.



7.2.3 Proposals are submitted in sufficient time to permit proper consideration, normally not less than two months before the activity, or as soon as practicable where an opportunity arises at short notice.

7.2.4 Proposals are coordinated through the International Partnerships Office, which confirms that the necessary partnership arrangements are in place.

7.3 Selection of students

7.3.1 Selection must always be transparent, competitive, and based primarily on academic performance.

7.3.2 Departments may apply additional selection criteria appropriate to the nature of the activity, for example language proficiency, relevant subject expertise, disciplinary standing, or prior participation in international activity.

7.3.3 Selection criteria are communicated to students before applications open and are applied consistently.

7.3.4 The outcome of selection, with the reasons for it, is recorded and submitted to the Rector together with the request for financial support.

7.4 Approval of financial support

7.4.1 The Rector determines:

- whether financial support is approved;
- whether support is partial or full;
- the final amount of support.

7.4.2 In reaching a decision, the Rector takes into account the funds available and the University's institutional priorities.

7.4.3 Decisions are confirmed in writing to the initiating department. No financial commitment may be communicated to students before approval has been given.

7.5 Semester abroad

7.5.1 Where a student undertakes an approved semester abroad through an official BMU partnership, the BMU tuition fee for that semester may be waived in whole or in part. No waiver arises automatically.

7.5.2 Whether a waiver applies to a particular activity, and at what level, is determined by the Rector for each programme, taking into account the number of students enrolled on the programme and the financial capacity of the University. The determination is made before the opportunity is announced, and the fee arrangements applying to the activity, including whether a waiver applies and at what level, are stated in the announcement made to students.

7.5.3 A waiver applies only where the period abroad is undertaken under a formal partnership agreement and the credit obtained is recognised towards the BMU award.

7.5.4 A tuition waiver under this section does not affect an academic grant or merit-based scholarship held by the student, which continues subject to the normal conditions of this policy.

7.6 Responsibilities and reporting

7.6.1 The International Partnerships Office maintains a record of approved activities, participants and funding awarded.

7.6.2 The initiating department reports on completion of the activity, confirming the outcomes achieved and the use of funds.

7.6.3 An annual summary of international mobility funding is submitted to the Grants and Scholarships Committee and to the Rector, and informs future allocation.



8. Committee Responsibilities

8.1 The Grants and scholarships committee

- 8.1.1 A single Grants and Scholarships Committee is responsible for the oversight of academic grants and merit-based scholarships following enrolment. Separate committees are not maintained for the two instruments.
- 8.1.2 The Committee is responsible for:
- the annual review of every grant holder;
 - decisions on the continuation of awards;
 - decisions on probation;
 - decisions on the withdrawal of awards;
 - the allocation of merit-based scholarships;
 - recommendations to the Rector on any matter arising under this policy;
 - oversight of the consistent application of this policy across programmes.
- 8.1.3 The Committee reports annually to Academic Council on the operation of this policy, including the number of awards held, quotas approved and review outcomes.

8.2 Membership

- 8.2.1 The Committee comprises:
- the Rector (Chair);
 - the Dean of Foundation Programme;
 - the Dean of Undergraduate Programmes;
 - the Dean of Student Affairs;
 - the Academic Registrar.
- 8.2.2 The Head of Admissions and the Head of the Student Academic Support Department attend as required, without vote, to advise on admissions matters and on student academic support respectively.
- 8.2.3 The Academic Registrar acts as Secretary to the Committee and maintains its records.

8.3 Meetings and decisions

- 8.3.1 The Committee convenes annually, following the Reassessment Boards, and may meet additionally at the request of the Chair.
- 8.3.2 The quorum is the Chair, or a member nominated by the Chair, together with three further members.
- 8.3.3 Decisions are taken by majority. In the event of an equality of votes, the Chair holds a casting vote.
- 8.3.4 Outcomes are recorded and communicated to students in writing, normally within ten working days of the meeting.
- 8.3.5 Members declare any conflict of interest and withdraw from consideration of the case concerned.

8.4 Relationship with the admissions committee

- 8.4.1 The award of academic grants at the point of admission is the responsibility of the Admissions Committee and the Rector, as set out in Section 5.
- 8.4.2 The Grants and Scholarships Committee has no power to award an academic grant. Its authority in respect of grants extends only to their review, continuation and withdrawal after enrolment.

8.5 Appeals

- 8.5.1 A student may appeal a decision of the Committee on procedural grounds within ten working days of notification, in writing to the Rector.
- 8.5.2 Academic judgement, including the grades on which the annual GPA is based, is not subject to appeal under this policy.

9. Review of this Policy

- 9.1 This policy is reviewed every three years, or earlier where regulatory, institutional or operational change requires.
- 9.2 Review is coordinated by the Academic Registrar. Amendments are approved by Academic Council.
- 9.3 Minor editorial amendments may be made by the Academic Registrar with the approval of the Rector and are recorded in the revision history.

10. Implementation

- 10.1 This policy takes effect on the date stated above and supersedes the 50% Academic Grants Policy and the Merit-based Scholarship Policy.
- 10.2 This policy shall be:
- communicated to current students via student email, Moodle and the HelpDesk Telegram channel;
 - communicated to applicants through official Admissions channels;
 - communicated to staff through the University’s internal channels;
 - implemented by the Student Academic Support Department and the Academic Registrar, supported by the Admissions Department and the International Partnerships Office.
- 10.3 Academic grants held on the effective date continue in force and are governed by this policy from that date.

Revision History

Version	Approved by	Approval Date	Description of Change
1	Academic Council		New University-wide policy consolidating and superseding the 50% Academic Grants Policy and the Merit-based Scholarship Policy.

Rector